

Action-angle coordinates for time-dependent completely integrable Hamiltonian systems

GIOVANNI GIACHETTA¹, LUIGI MANGIAROTTI^{†2} AND GENNADI SARDANASHVILY^{‡3}

[†] Department of Mathematics and Physics, University of Camerino, 62032 Camerino (MC), Italy

[‡] Department of Theoretical Physics, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, Russia

Abstract. A time-dependent completely integrable Hamiltonian system is proved to admit the action-angle coordinates around any instantly compact regular invariant manifold. Written relative to these coordinates, its Hamiltonian and first integrals are functions only of action coordinates.

1 Introduction

A time-dependent Hamiltonian system of m degrees of freedom is called a completely integrable system (henceforth CIS) if it admits m independent first integrals in involution. In order to provide it with action-angle coordinates, we use the fact that a time-dependent CIS of m degrees of freedom can be extended to an autonomous Hamiltonian system of $m + 1$ degrees of freedom where the time is regarded as a dynamic variable [2, 3, 7]. We show that it is an autonomous CIS. However, the classical theorem [1, 5] on action-angle coordinates can not be applied to this CIS since its invariant manifolds are never compact because of the time axis. Generalizing this theorem, we first prove that there is a system of action-angle coordinates on an open neighbourhood U of a regular invariant manifold M of an autonomous CIS if Hamiltonian vector fields of first integrals on U are complete and the foliation of U by invariant manifolds is trivial. If M is compact, these conditions always hold [5]. Afterwards, we show that, if a regular connected invariant manifold of a time-dependent CIS is compact at each instant, it is diffeomorphic to the product of the time axis $\mathbb{R}$ and an m -dimensional torus T^m , and it admits an open neighbourhood equipped with the time-dependent action-angle coordinates $(I_i; \phi^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, where t is the Cartesian coordinate on $\mathbb{R}$ and ϕ^i are cyclic coordinates on T^m . Written with respect to these coordinates, a Hamiltonian and first integrals of a time-dependent CIS are functions only of action coordinates I_i .

For instance, there are action-angle coordinates $(\bar{I}_i; \bar{\phi}^i)$ such that a Hamiltonian of a time-dependent CIS vanishes. They are particular initial data coordinates, constant along trajectories of a Hamiltonian system. Furthermore, given an arbitrary smooth function $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$, there exist action-angle coordinates $(I_i; \phi^i)$, obtained by the relevant time-dependent canonical transformations of $(\bar{I}_i; \bar{\phi}^i)$, such that a Hamiltonian of a time-dependent CIS with

¹E-mail address: giovanni.giachetta@unicam.it

²E-mail address: luigi.mangiarotti@unicam.it

³E-mail address: sard@grav.phys.msu.su; URL: <http://webcenter.ru/~sardan/>

respect to these coordinates equals $\mathcal{H}(I_i)$. Thus, time-dependent action-angle coordinates provide a solution of the problem of representing a Hamiltonian of a time-dependent CIS in terms of first integrals [4, 6]. However, this representation need not hold with respect to any coordinate system because a Hamiltonian fails to be a scalar under time-dependent canonical transformations.

2 Time-dependent completely integrable Hamiltonian systems

Recall that the configuration space of a time-dependent mechanical system is a fibre bundle $Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ over the time axis $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with the bundle coordinates (t, q^k) , $k = 1, \dots, m$. The corresponding momentum phase space is the vertical cotangent bundle V^*Q of $Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ endowed with holonomic coordinates $(t, q^k, p_k = \dot{q}_k)$ [8-10]. The cotangent bundle T^*Q , coordinated by $(q^\lambda, p_\lambda) = (t, q^k, p_0, p_k)$, is the homogeneous momentum phase space of time-dependent mechanics. It is provided with the canonical Liouville form $\Xi = p_\lambda dq^\lambda$, the canonical symplectic form $\Omega = dp_\lambda \wedge dq^\lambda$, and the corresponding Poisson bracket

$$\{f, f'\}_T = \partial^\lambda f \partial_\lambda f' - \partial_\lambda f \partial^\lambda f', \quad f, f' \in C^\infty(T^*Q). \quad (1)$$

There is the one-dimensional trivial affine bundle

$$\zeta : T^*Q \rightarrow V^*Q. \quad (2)$$

Given its global section h , one can equip T^*Q with the global fibre coordinate $r = p_0 - h$. The fibre bundle (2) provides the vertical cotangent bundle V^*Q with the canonical Poisson structure $\{, \}_V$ such that

$$\zeta^* \{f, f'\}_V = \{\zeta^* f, \zeta^* f'\}_T, \quad \forall f, f' \in C^\infty(V^*Q), \quad (3)$$

$$\{f, f'\}_V = \partial^k f \partial_k f' - \partial_k f \partial^k f'. \quad (4)$$

A Hamiltonian of time-dependent mechanics is defined as a global section

$$h : V^*Q \rightarrow T^*Q, \quad p_0 \circ h = -\mathcal{H}(t, q^j, p_j),$$

of the affine bundle ζ (2) [8, 9]. It yields the pull-back Hamiltonian form

$$H = h^* \Xi = p_k dq^k - \mathcal{H} dt \quad (5)$$

on V^*Q . Then there exists a unique vector field γ_H on V^*Q such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_H \rfloor dt &= 1, & \gamma_H \rfloor dH &= 0, \\ \gamma_H &= \partial_t + \partial^k \mathcal{H} \partial_k - \partial_k \mathcal{H} \partial^k. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Its trajectories obey the Hamilton equation

$$\dot{q}^k = \partial^k \mathcal{H}, \quad \dot{p}_k = -\partial_k \mathcal{H}. \quad (7)$$

A first integral of the Hamilton equation (7) is a smooth real function F on V^*Q whose Lie derivative

$$\mathbf{L}_{\gamma_H} F = \gamma_H \lrcorner dF = \partial_t F + \{\mathcal{H}, F\}_V$$

along the vector field γ_H (6) vanishes, i.e., F is constant on trajectories of γ_H . A time-dependent Hamiltonian system (V^*Q, H) is said to be completely integrable if the Hamilton equation (7) admits m first integrals F_k which are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_V$ (4), and whose differentials dF_k are linearly independent almost everywhere (i.e., the set of points where this condition fails is nowhere dense). One can associate to this CIS an autonomous CIS on T^*Q as follows.

Let us consider the pull-back ζ^*H of the Hamiltonian form H (5) onto the cotangent bundle T^*Q . It is readily observed that

$$\mathcal{H}^* = \partial_t \lrcorner (\Xi - \zeta^* h^* \Xi) = p_0 + \mathcal{H} \quad (8)$$

is a function on T^*Q . Let us regard $\mathcal{H}^*$ as a Hamiltonian of an autonomous Hamiltonian system on the symplectic manifold (T^*Q, Ω) [10]. Its Hamiltonian vector field

$$\gamma_T = \partial_t - \partial_t \mathcal{H} \partial^0 + \partial^k \mathcal{H} \partial_k - \partial_k \mathcal{H} \partial^k \quad (9)$$

is projected onto the vector field γ_H (6) on V^*Q so that

$$\zeta^*(\mathbf{L}_{\gamma_H} f) = \{\mathcal{H}^*, \zeta^* f\}_T, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(V^*Q).$$

An immediate consequence of this relation is the following.

Theorem 1. (i) Given a time-dependent CIS $(\mathcal{H}; F_k)$ on V^*Q , the Hamiltonian system $(\mathcal{H}^*, \zeta^* F_k)$ on T^*Q is a CIS. (ii) Let N be a connected regular invariant manifold of $(\mathcal{H}; F_k)$. Then $h(N) \subset T^*Q$ is a connected regular invariant manifold of the autonomous CIS $(\mathcal{H}^*, \zeta^* F_k)$.

Hereafter, we assume that the vector field γ_H (6) is complete. In this case, the Hamilton equation (7) admits a unique global solution through each point of the momentum phase space V^*Q , and trajectories of γ_H define a trivial fibre bundle $V^*Q \rightarrow V_t^*Q$ over any fibre V_t^*Q of $V^*Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality, we choose the fibre $i_0 : V_0^*Q \rightarrow V^*Q$ at $t = 0$. Since N is an invariant manifold, the fibration

$$\xi : V^*Q \rightarrow V_0^*Q \quad (10)$$

also yields the fibration of N onto $N_0 = N \cap V_0^*Q$ such that $N \cong \mathbb{R} \times N_0$ is a trivial bundle.

3 Time-dependent action-angle coordinates

Let us introduce the action-angle coordinates around an invariant manifold N of a time-dependent CIS on V^*Q by use of the action-angle coordinates around the invariant manifold $h(N)$ of the autonomous CIS on T^*Q in Theorem 1. Since N and, consequently, $h(N)$ are non-compact, we first prove the following.

Theorem 2. Let M be a connected invariant manifold of an autonomous CIS $\{F_\lambda\}$, $\lambda = 1, \dots, n$, on a symplectic manifold (Z, Ω_Z) . Let U be an open neighbourhood of M such that: (i) the differentials dF_λ are independent everywhere on U , (ii) the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ of the first integrals F_λ on U is complete, and (iii) the submersion $\times F_\lambda : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a trivial bundle of invariant manifolds over a domain $V' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then U is isomorphic to the symplectic annulus

$$W' = V' \times (\mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times T^m), \quad (11)$$

provided with the action-angle coordinates

$$(I_1, \dots, I_n; x^1, \dots, x^{n-m}; \phi^1, \dots, \phi^m) \quad (12)$$

such that the symplectic form on W' reads

$$\Omega_Z = dI_a \wedge dx^a + dI_i \wedge d\phi^i,$$

and the first integrals F_λ depend only on the action coordinates I_α .

Proof. In accordance with the well-known theorem [1], the invariant manifold M is diffeomorphic to the product $\mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times T^m$, which is the group space of the quotient $G = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^m$ of the group $\mathbb{R}^n$ generated by Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ of first integrals F_λ on M . Namely, M is provided with the group space coordinates $(y^\lambda) = (s^a, \varphi^i)$ where φ^i are linear functions of parameters s^λ along integral curves of the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ on U . Let (J_λ) be coordinates on V' which are values of first integrals F_λ . Let us choose a trivialization of the fibre bundle $U \rightarrow V$ seen as a principal bundle with the structure group G . We fix its global section χ . Since parameters s^λ are given up to a shift, let us provide each fibre M_J , $J \in V$, with the group space coordinates (y^λ) centred at the point $\chi(J)$. Then $(J_\lambda; y^\lambda)$ are bundle coordinates on the annulus W' (11). Since M_J are Lagrangian manifolds, the symplectic form Ω_Z on W' is given relative to the bundle coordinates $(J_\lambda; y^\lambda)$ by the expression

$$\Omega_Z = \Omega^{\alpha\beta} dJ_\alpha \wedge dJ_\beta + \Omega_\beta^\alpha dJ_\alpha \wedge dy^\beta. \quad (13)$$

By the very definition of coordinates (y^λ) , the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ of first integrals take the coordinate form $\vartheta_\lambda = \vartheta_\lambda^\alpha (J_\mu) \partial_\alpha$. Moreover, since the cyclic group S^1 can not act transitively on $\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\vartheta_a = \partial_a + \vartheta_a^i (J_\lambda) \partial_i, \quad \vartheta_i = \vartheta_i^k (J_\lambda) \partial_k. \quad (14)$$

The Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ obey the relations

$$\vartheta_\lambda \rfloor \Omega_Z = -dJ_\lambda, \quad \Omega_\beta^\alpha \vartheta_\lambda^\beta = \delta_\lambda^\alpha. \quad (15)$$

It follows that Ω_β^α is a non-degenerate matrix and $\vartheta_\lambda^\alpha = (\Omega^{-1})_\lambda^\alpha$, i.e., the functions Ω_β^α depend only on coordinates J_λ . A substitution of (14) into (15) results in the equalities

$$\Omega_b^a = \delta_b^a, \quad \vartheta_a^\lambda \Omega_\lambda^i = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\vartheta_i^k \Omega_k^j = \delta_i^j, \quad \vartheta_i^k \Omega_k^a = 0. \quad (17)$$

The first of the equalities (17) shows that the matrix Ω_k^j is non-degenerate, and so is the matrix ϑ_i^k . Then the second one gives $\Omega_k^a = 0$. By virtue of the well-known Künneth formula for the de Rham cohomology of a product of manifolds, the closed form Ω_Z (13) on W' (11) is exact, i.e., $\Omega_Z = d\Xi$ where Ξ reads

$$\Xi = \Xi^\alpha(J_\lambda, y^\lambda) dJ_\alpha + \Xi_i(J_\lambda) d\varphi^i + \partial_\alpha \Phi(J_\lambda, y^\lambda) dy^\alpha,$$

where Φ is a function on W' . Taken up to an exact form, Ξ is brought into the form

$$\Xi = \Xi'^a(J_\lambda, y^\lambda) dJ_a + \Xi_i(J_\lambda) d\varphi^i. \quad (18)$$

Owing to the fact that components of $d\Xi = \Omega_Z$ are independent of y^λ and obey the equalities (16) – (17), we obtain the following.

(i) $\Omega_i^a = -\partial_i \Xi'^a + \partial^a \Xi_i = 0$. It follows that $\partial_i \Xi'^a$ is independent of φ^i , i.e., Ξ'^a is affine in φ^i and, consequently, is independent of φ^i since φ^i are cyclic coordinate. Hence, $\partial^a \Xi_i = 0$, i.e., Ξ_i is a function only of coordinates J_j .

(ii) $\Omega_i^k = -\partial_i \Xi'^k + \partial^k \Xi_i$. Similarly to item (i), one shows that Ξ'^k is independent of φ^i and $\Omega_i^k = \partial^k \Xi_i$, i.e., $\partial^k \Xi_i$ is a non-degenerate matrix.

(iii) $\Omega_b^a = -\partial_b \Xi'^a = \delta_b^a$. Hence, $\Xi'^a = -s^a + D^a(J_\lambda)$.

(iv) $\Omega_b^i = -\partial_b \Xi'^i$, i.e., Ξ'^i is affine in s^a .

In view of items (i) – (iv), the Liouville form Ξ (18) reads

$$\Xi = x^a dJ_a + [D^i(J_\lambda) + B_a^i(J_\lambda) s^a] dJ_i + \Xi_i(J_j) d\varphi^i,$$

where we put

$$x^a = -\Xi'^a = s^a - D^a(J_\lambda). \quad (19)$$

Since the matrix $\partial^k \Xi_i$ is non-degenerate, one can introduce new coordinates $I_i = \Xi_i(J_j)$, $I_a = J_a$. Then we have

$$\Xi = -x^a dI_a + [D^i(I_\lambda) + B_a^i(I_\lambda) s^a] dI_i + I_i d\varphi^i.$$

Finally, put

$$\phi^i = \varphi^i - [D^i(I_\lambda) + B_a^i(I_\lambda) s^a] \quad (20)$$

in order to obtain the desired action-angle coordinates

$$I_a = J_a, \quad I_j(J_j), \quad x^a = s^a + S^a(J_\lambda), \quad \phi^i = \varphi^i + S^i(J_\lambda, s^b).$$

These are bundle coordinates on $U \rightarrow V'$ where the coordinate shifts (19) – (20) correspond to a choice of another trivialization of $U \rightarrow V'$.

Of course, the action-angle coordinates (12) by no means are unique. For instance, let $\mathcal{F}_a$, $a = 1, \dots, n - m$ be an arbitrary smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let us consider the canonical coordinate transformation

$$I'_a = I_a - \mathcal{F}_a(I_j), \quad I'_k = I_k, \quad x'^a = x^a, \quad \phi'^i = \phi^i + x^a \partial^i \mathcal{F}_a(I_j). \quad (21)$$

Then $(I'_a, I'_k; x'^a, \phi'^k)$ are action-angle coordinates on the symplectic annulus which differs from W' (11) in another trivialization.

Now, we apply Theorem 2 to the CISs in Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Let N be a connected regular invariant manifold of a time-dependent CIS on V^*Q , and let the image N_0 of its projection ξ (10) be compact. Then the invariant manifold $h(N)$ of the autonomous CIS on T^*Q has an open neighbourhood U obeying the condition of Theorem 2.

Proof. (i) We first show that functions $i_0^* F_k$ make up a CIS on the symplectic leaf (V_0^*Q, Ω_0) and N_0 is its invariant manifold without critical points (i.e., where first integrals fail to be independent). Clearly, the functions $i_0^* F_k$ are in involution, and N_0 is their connected invariant manifold. Let us show that the set of critical points of $\{i_0^* F_k\}$ is nowhere dense in V_0^*Q and N_0 has none of these points. Let V_0^*Q be equipped with some coordinates $(\bar{q}^k, \bar{p}_k)$. Then the trivial bundle ξ (10) is provided with the bundle coordinates $(t, \bar{q}^k, \bar{p}_k)$ which play a role of the initial data coordinates on the momentum phase space V^*Q . Written with respect to these coordinates, the first integrals F_k become time-independent. It follows that

$$dF_k(y) = di_0^* F_k(\xi(y)) \quad (22)$$

for any point $y \in V^*Q$. In particular, if $y_0 \in V_0^*Q$ is a critical point of $\{i_0^* F_k\}$, then the trajectory $\xi^{-1}(y_0)$ is a critical set for the first integrals $\{F_k\}$. The desired statement at once follows from this result.

(ii) Since N_0 obeys the condition in item (i), there is an open neighbourhood of N_0 in V_0^*Q isomorphic to $V \times N_0$ where $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a domain, and $\{v\} \times N_0$, $v \in V$, are also invariant manifolds in V_0^*Q [5]. Then

$$W = \xi^{-1}(V \times N_0) \cong V \times N \quad (23)$$

is an open neighbourhood in V^*Q of the invariant manifold N foliated by invariant manifolds $\xi^{-1}(\{v\} \times N_0)$, $v \in V$, of the time-dependent CIS on V^*Q . By virtue of the equality (22),

the first integrals $\{F_k\}$ have no critical points in W . For any real number $r \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, let us consider a section

$$h_r : V^*Q \rightarrow T^*Q, \quad p_0 \circ h_r = -\mathcal{H}(t, q^j, p_j) + r,$$

of the affine bundle ζ (2). Then the images $h_r(W)$ of W (23) make up an open neighbourhood U of $h(N)$ in T^*Q . Because $\zeta(U) = W$, the pull-backs ζ^*F_k of first integrals F_k are free from critical points in U , and so is the function $\mathcal{H}^*$ (8). Since the coordinate $r = p_0 - h$ provides a trivialization of the affine bundle ζ , the open neighbourhood U of $h(N)$ is diffeomorphic to the product

$$(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times h(W) \cong (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times V \times h(N)$$

which is a trivialization of the fibration

$$\mathcal{H}^* \times (\times \zeta^*F_k) : U \rightarrow (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times V.$$

(iii) It remains to prove that the Hamiltonian vector fields of $\mathcal{H}^*$ and ζ^*F_k on U are complete. It is readily observed that the Hamiltonian vector field γ_T (9) of $\mathcal{H}^*$ is tangent to the manifolds $h_r(W)$, and is the image $\gamma_T = Th_r \circ \gamma_H \circ \zeta$ of the vector field γ_H (6). The latter is complete on W , and so is γ_T on U . Similarly, the Hamiltonian vector field

$$\gamma_k = -\partial_t F_k \partial^0 + \partial^i F_k \partial_i - \partial_i F_k \partial^i$$

of the function ζ^*F_k on T^*Q with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_T$ (1) is tangent to the manifolds $h_r(W)$, and is the image $\gamma_k = Th_r \circ \vartheta_k \circ \zeta$ of the Hamiltonian vector field ϑ_k of the first integral F_k on W with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_V$ (4). The vector fields ϑ_k on W are vertical relative to the fibration $W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and are tangent to compact manifolds. Therefore, they are complete, and so are the vector fields γ_k on U . Thus, U is the desired open neighbourhood of the invariant manifold $h(N)$.

In accordance with Theorem 2, the open neighbourhood U of the invariant manifold $h(N)$ of the autonomous CIS in Theorem 3 is isomorphic to the symplectic annulus

$$W' = V' \times (\mathbb{R} \times T^m), \quad V' = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times V, \quad (24)$$

provided with the action-angle coordinates $(I_0, \dots, I_m; t, \phi^1, \dots, \phi^m)$ such that the symplectic form on W' reads

$$\Omega = dI_0 \wedge dt + dI_k \wedge d\phi^k$$

By the construction in Theorem 2, $I_0 = J_0 = \mathcal{H}^*$ and the corresponding generalized angle coordinate is $x^0 = t$, while the first integrals $J_k = \zeta^*F_k$ depend only on the action coordinates I_i .

Since the action coordinates I_i are independent of the coordinate J_0 , the symplectic annulus W' (24) inherits the fibration

$$W' \xrightarrow{\zeta} W'' = V \times (\mathbb{R} \times T^m). \quad (25)$$

By the relation similar to (3), the product W'' (25), coordinated by $(I_i; t, \phi^i)$, is provided with the Poisson structure

$$\{f, f'\}_W = \partial^i f \partial_i f' - \partial_i f \partial^i f', \quad f, f' \in C^\infty(W'').$$

Therefore, one can regard W'' as the momentum phase space of the time-dependent CIS in question around the invariant manifold N .

It is readily observed that the Hamiltonian vector field γ_T of the autonomous Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^* = I_0$ is $\gamma_T = \partial_t$, and so is its projection γ_H (6) on W'' . Consequently, the Hamilton equation (7) of a time-dependent CIS with respect to the action-angle coordinates take the form $\dot{I}_i = 0$, $\dot{\phi}^i = 0$. Hence, $(I_i; t, \phi^i)$ are the initial data coordinates. One can introduce such coordinates as follows. Given the fibration ξ (10), let us provide $N_0 \times V \subset V_0^*Q$ in Theorem 3 with action-angle coordinates $(\bar{I}_i; \bar{\phi}^i)$ for the CIS $\{i_0^*F_k\}$ on the symplectic leaf V_0^*Q . Then, it is readily observed that $(\bar{I}_i; t, \bar{\phi}^i)$ are time-dependent action-angle coordinates on W'' (25) such that the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(\bar{I}_j)$ of a time-dependent CIS relative to these coordinates vanishes, i.e., $\mathcal{H}^* = \bar{I}_0$. Using the canonical transformations (21), one can consider time-dependent action-angle coordinates besides the initial data ones. Given a smooth function $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$, one can provide W'' with the action-angle coordinates

$$I_0 = \bar{I}_0 - \mathcal{H}(\bar{I}_j), \quad I_i = \bar{I}_i, \quad \phi^i = \bar{\phi}^i + t \partial^i \mathcal{H}(\bar{I}_j)$$

such that $\mathcal{H}(I_i)$ is a Hamiltonian of a time-dependent CIS on W'' .

References

- [1] Arnold V (Ed.) 1988 *Dynamical Systems III* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [2] Bouquet S and Bourdier A 1998 *Phys. Rev. E* **57** 1273
- [3] Dewisme A and Bouquet S 1993 *J. Math. Phys.* **34** 997
- [4] Kaushal R 1998 *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **37** 1793
- [5] Lazutkin V 1993 *KAM Theory and Semiclassical Approximations to Eigenfunctions* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [6] Lewis H, Leach O, Bouquet S and Feix M 1992 *J. Math. Phys.* **33** 591
- [7] Lichtenberg A and Liebermann M 1983 *Regular and Stochastic Motion* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)

- [8] Mangiarotti L and Sardanashvily G 1998 *Gauge Mechanics* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [9] Sardanashvily G 1998 *J. Math. Phys.* **39** 2714
- [10] Sardanashvily G 2000 *J. Math. Phys.* **41** 5245